

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,808	11/21/2003	Satoshi Seo	0553-0383	3296	
75	7590 04:15/2005			EXAMINER	
COOK, ALEX, McFARRON, MANZO,			YAMNITZKY, MARIE ROSE		
CUMMINGS &	MEHLER, LTD.		ART UNIT		
SUITE 2850	SUITE 2850			PAPER NUMBER	
200 WEST ADAMS STREET			1774		
CHICAGO, IL 60606					

DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				15
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/719,808	SEO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
		Marie R. Yamnitzky	1774	
The MAILING D Period for Reply	ATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	lress
THE MAILING DATE ( - Extensions of time may be an after SIX (6) MONTHS from ( - If the period for reply specifie  - If NO period for reply is spec  - Failure to reply within the set	OF THIS COMMUNICATION vailable under the provisions of 37 CF the mailing date of this communication and above is less than thirty (30) days, fifed above, the maximum statutory proor extended period for reply will, by since later than three months after the results.	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this cor  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status				
1) Responsive to c	ommunication(s) filed on 2	11/21/03, 06/22/04, 07/06/04 &	<u>09/16/04</u> .	
2a)☐ This action is FI		This action is non-final.		
		owance except for formal matte	• •	merits is
closed in accord	lance with the practice und	ier <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims				
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/ 7) ☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed. /are rejected. is/are objected to.	ntion.  ndrawn from consideration.  nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification	is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
		/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ot	jected to by the Examine	г.
		the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	· ·	
		rrection is required if the drawing(		
11) The oath or decla	aration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTC	D-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119			
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Som 1.⊠ Certified c 2.⊡ Certified c	ne * c) None of: copies of the priority docume copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.	oplication No	
		priority documents have been	received in this National S	stage
	n from the International Budetailed Office action for a	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  list of the certified copies not r	received	
	and and addon for a	or and defailed copies flot t	Cocivou.	
Administrative N				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited	I (PTO-892)	4) Interview C	ummary (PTO-413)	
	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paner No(e)	/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Sta			ormal Patent Application (PTO-	

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is not in the form of a single paragraph, and it includes phrases which can be implied (e.g. "The present invention provides" and "the invention provides"). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, with claims 2-15 dependent directly or indirectly therefrom, is confusing in reciting "M is at least one element" of group 9 or group 10. It is not clear how M can be more than one element for a single compound.

Since claim 1 appears to allow M to be more than one element for a single compound, it is not clear if claim 2's recitation of "an iridium element" and "a platinum element" allows for iridium or platinum in combination with one or more additional elements of group 9 or 10, or if M consists of iridium or platinum in the case of claim 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,808

Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

4. Claims 1 and 3-9 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1 is not written in the form of a single sentence.

In each of claims 3-9, the period needs to be moved from the end of the second line to the end of the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

## 5. Miscellaneous:

The specification contains many grammatical and spelling errors (e.g. "recombined each other" at page 2, line 23; "be a lightweight" at p. 3, 1. 3; "citcuit" at p. 24, 1. 13; "cirucit" at p. 24, 1. 16). While some of the corrections are self-evident, and could be corrected by the examiner by informal examiner's amendment at such time of the application is otherwise in condition for allowance, not all corrections are self-evident. Therefore, Applicant's assistance in correcting grammatical and spelling errors in the specification is respectfully requested.

6. Claims 1-15 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action, with correction of the informalities noted in the objection to claims 1 and 3-9.

The prior art does not disclose or suggest a compound of general formula 1 as defined in present claim 1. In *Journal of Organometallic Chemistry* 604 (2000), pp. 178-185, Anderson et al. disclose platinum compounds having a thienyl imine ligand as shown in present general formula 1 wherein R<sub>1</sub> represents an aralkyl (aryl-substituted alkyl) group, and each of R<sub>2</sub>, R<sub>3</sub> and

R<sub>4</sub> represents hydrogen. Anderson's compounds do not contain a ligand L as defined in present claim 1.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Marie R. Yamnitzky at telephone number (571) 272-1531. The examiner works a flexible schedule but can generally be reached at this number from 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday, and every other Wednesday from 6:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m.

The current fax number for Art Unit 1774 is (703) 872-9306 for all official faxes. (Unofficial faxes to be sent directly to examiner Yamnitzky can be sent to (571) 273-1531.)

MRY April 11, 2005

> MARIE YAMNITZKY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Marie L. Gammitzky

1714